

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA  
ELKINS

TIMOTHY LAMONT RUFF,

Petitioner,

v.

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:15-CV-5

The Honorable John Preston Bailey

MR. ODOM, Acting Warden,

Respondent.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

On this day, the above-styled matter came before this Court for consideration of the Report and Recommendation of United States Magistrate Judge Robert W. Trumble [Doc. 9]. Pursuant to this Court's Local Rules, this action was referred to Magistrate Judge Trumble for submission of a proposed report and a recommendation ("R & R"). Magistrate Judge Trumble filed his R & R on January 30, 2015, wherein he recommends this Court dismiss petitioner's 28 U.S.C. § 2241 without prejudice to allow petitioner to file a *Bivens* action because petitioner's claims arise out of the conditions of his confinement instead of the execution of his sentence. See *Bivens v. Six Unknown Agents of the Federal Bureau of Narcotics*, 403 U.S. 399 (1971).

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court is required to make a *de novo* review of those portions of the magistrate judge's findings to which objection is made. However, the Court is not required to review, under a *de novo* or any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of the magistrate judge as to those portions of the findings or recommendation to which no objections are addressed. See *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). In addition, failure to file timely objections constitutes a waiver of *de novo*

review and the right to appeal this Court's Order. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); **Snyder v. Ridenour**, 889 F.2d 1363, 1366 (4th Cir. 1989); **United States v. Schronce**, 727 F.2d 91, 94 (4th Cir. 1984). Here, objections to Magistrate Judge Trumble's R & R were due within fourteen (14) days of receipt, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). The docket reflects that service was accepted on February 2, 2015. [Doc. 11]. No objections have been filed. Accordingly, this Court will review the R&R for clear error.

Upon careful review of the above, it is the opinion of this Court that the **Report and Recommendation [Doc. 9]** should be, and is, hereby **ORDERED ADOPTED** for the reasons more fully stated in the magistrate judge's report. Accordingly, this Court **ORDERS** that this case be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**. This Court further **DIRECTS** the Clerk to **STRIKE** this case from the active docket of this Court.

It is so **ORDERED**.

The Clerk is directed to mail a copy of this Order to the *pro se* petitioner.

**DATED:** February 20, 2015.

  
JOHN PRESTON BAILEY  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE